

FIVE POINTS AGAINST THE COPENHAGEN TREATY

It has been reported by Lord Christopher Monckton that Barack Obama is going to sign the Copenhagen Treaty in early December 2009, and that this treaty will lay the foundation for a one-world government, perhaps even without seeking a two-thirds ratification of the treaty by the Senate, or any other type of Congressional approval! It is also being reported that “The treaty is not just a *foot-in-the-door* for one-world government. It IS communist, one-world government”. Kirsten Lombard writes, “And because of the high regard in which our Constitution holds foreign treaties, this document, if ratified by Congress, would supersede the Constitution”. Reportedly, “third world countries will sign it because they think they are going to gain money from so-doing”. Two articles attached give more detail on this subject by writers Kirsten Lombard and Jerome Corsi. The purpose of this “Alert” is to present some points that must immediately be taken into consideration.

The First point: The foundation for a one-world government has already been laid. Ever since the United States signed into the United Nations organization in 1945, and became committed to adapt itself to the provisions of the United Nations Charter, our nation has had to undergo transitions and alterations in its operational practices to accommodate on-coming one-world government management. The U.S.A. has been covered over by the U.N.’s ten international regional government networks, and its economic and social policies. These ultimately are intended to abolish our states, and eventually eliminate elected representation in favor of non-elective global totalitarian management. The United Nations has already revamped our foundation! Already being built are international super corridors to operate global transportation from Mexico to Alaska against citizen approval. The U.N. has been superseding our laws and traditions from day one, laying aside the principles, practices, and restrictions set by our Constitutional system as if such never existed.

The Second Point: The General Assembly of the United Nations has for decades been acting as a world legislative body. A World Court is already handing down decisions. A complete set of laws for operation of the Court system under martial law has been drafted. The manual itself is called Courts-Martial. The U.N. also lays claim to an army. Ours! By virtue of the General and Complete Disarmament Program signed as Public Law 87-297 in 1961, the United Nations requires all national armed forces all over the world to be under its authority. This

law requires the national armed forces of the United States to be transferred on a permanent basis to the United Nations, leaving the U.S.A. with no army, no navy, and no air force of its own in the future. This law is also responsible for the barrage of attacks against private ownership of guns owned by the American people. A replacement has been made for our whole *Bill of Rights*, which is called the *Human Rights Treaties*. They are communist treaties. There is no provision in the set to allow people to own a gun. The U.N. even has a “loyalty Oath” already drafted. Our police operational ‘Standards and Goals’ were revised in the ‘70’s under the federal Law Enforcement Assistance Administration to meet goals in conformance to United Nations’ military management objectives. There is a World Wide Military Command and Control System already built. We have been revamped so that we are in accord with military standards on an international regional basis. The PPBS and MBO are international management systems. The world structural foundation is already laid!

The Third Point: The United States form of government has been severely changed *from* a republic *into* a dictatorship by the merger of the civilian law enforcement with the military, which is now known as the “Homeland Security”. During his presidency George W. Bush issued an Executive Order establishing the Homeland Security Agency. Later, he issued another E.O. moving the powers bestowed upon the Homeland Security Agency over to the oval office. When Obama first entered the oval office, the presidency was already re-structured as a dictatorship. The suggested situation allowing him to sign the Copenhagen Treaty without Senate ratification *does* arise as a possibility since Obama may now hold strange new powers! Perhaps this is why he has become the president without having to meet Constitutional standards. Things really have “changed”! Under the true and rightful Constitutional government, he could not do so, nor could a treaty supersede our Constitution. Under the new Homeland Security rules, we may yet be ordered to accept the premise that treaties do supersede the Constitution! My, my!

The Fourth Point: If only we had been awake before this, and repealed the Act that originally put us under the United Nations Charter, we would not be forced to deal with these constant gigantic unlawful situations. It appears that the proper direction to take, rather than fighting all of the individual arms of the global monster, would be to direct our state houses to repeal the “*United Nations Participation Act of 1945*” as amended on October 19, 1949. This Act became Public Law 264 - 79th Congress. It is not too late for the states to stop the sedition of the federal government. They can even override the veto of their governors.

The U.N. is a *parallel government* brought alongside to shut down the historical and rightful government of the United States. Meanwhile, will you be

prepared to explain to your offspring why the United States was allowed to have two governments operating in competition to each other? The U.N. Charter itself never did qualify to be called a treaty at the time it was accorded that standing. How will you explain that even valid *treaties do not supersede the Constitution?

Guard against the false doctrine that treaties can supersede our Constitution. This false premise that John Foster Dulles tried to instill “to pull the wool over the eyes” of the uninformed people, has to be called for what it is: an out-and-out fabrication; a falsity; a deliberate deception!

The Constitution was so wisely and strongly built that the only way we can lose our freedom and liberty is if we succumb to mal-administration and false information, the like of which has been forced upon us.

The Fifth Point: The Constitution and the Bill of Rights belong to the people. The people themselves have never given their informed consent to abandon or to supersede these documents! The people ARE the ultimate power! The very purpose of the Constitution and the Bill of Rights was to secure our liberty and to confirm God’s blessings upon us under a republic! *Neither the president, nor any other public official, past or present, has the consent of the governed, nor the power to overthrow the Constitution or the natural rights God has bestowed upon us!*

What has been done to make these “changes” and alter our form of government (sinking us deeper into the depths of totalitarian communist management) has been conducted through illegal, and deceptive maneuvers. The *parallel government* that was brought in, in 1945 under the name “United Nations Participation Act” is illegal, unlawful, and must be recognized for the *nothing* that it really is! Proper action undertaken will reinstate our legal and lawful Constitutional government. The most simple, advantageous, and proper solution to end this crisis *IS* for a great national *but peaceful outcry* to come directly from the people themselves, calling for the repeal of the Act in 1945 that put us under the United Nations and its Charter. This is the only peaceful way to cure the sedition and restore the republic. It is the safest course for concerned citizens to follow in order to reverse the damage done by membership in the United Nations or future treaties.

Attached:

*Proof That Treaties Do Not Supersede the United States Constitution

Treaties Do Not Supersede the U.S. Constitution


State Legislature Can Repeal the United Nations Charter

[Thatcher adviser: Copenhagen goal is 1-world government by Jerome Corsi](#)

[What Lord Monckton Said at Dinner.... by Kirsten Lombard](#)

PROOF THAT TREATIES DO NOT SUPERSEDE THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

"This Constitution and the laws of the United States which shall be made in pursuance thereof; and all treaties made, or which shall be made, under the authority of the United States, shall be the supreme law of the land; ..." U. S. Constitution Article VI Line 2



Diagramming of the treaty clause discloses that the subject noun "treaties" does not have exclusive use of the predicate. The structure of the sentence does not allow it. The compound subject is composed of three parts. The latter two parts, "laws" and "treaties", have been modified by two dependent clauses, providing evidence of the superior position that the first part holds over the latter two. What the sentence is saying is that the "Laws" and all "treaties" are subordinate to the "Constitution", and only if and when this criteria is met, all three shall share equally as the supreme law of the land.

Key: |

Indicates separation of subject from predicate

TREATIES DO NOT SUPERSEDE THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

By Bernadine Smith

Diagramming of the treaty clause proves that there is no other way to interpret the treaty clause in the United States Constitution except as presented on the reverse side. *The intent of the treaty clause is obvious. All treaties must respect, be subjected to, conform to, and be in pursuance of the United States Constitution – the required criterion for judging validity. The treaty-making power is not boundless. It cannot violate the principles, nor the spirit or the energy of the Constitution. The language used in it verifies that the construction of the treaty clause was designed to prevent misconstruction of the treaty power.*

Those who deviously claim that the treaty clause says that "treaties are supreme over the Constitution" - or - that "treaties can cut clear across the Bill of Rights" intend to deceive for unlawful purposes! (Refer to John Foster Dulles as the promoter of this untruthful remark.)

Thomas Jefferson said: "Our peculiar security is in the possession of a written Constitution. Let us not make it a blank paper by construction. I say the same as to the opinion of those who consider the grant of the treaty-making power as boundless. If it is, then we have no Constitution. If it has bounds, they can be no others than the definitions of the powers which that instrument gives."

The Constitution, laws of the United States, and treaties are, all three, on an equal footing -- only if the criteria is met.

Note that there are *two dependent clauses* within the compound subject

of the diagrammed sentence which restrain treaties from becoming boundless. (See reverse side.)

First, no treaty can be valid if it is not made under the authority of the United States. Under the authority of the United States, all public officials who could participate in the treaty-making process are already bound by their oath of office taken to support and defend the spirit and principles of the Constitution.

Second, in order for a treaty to take effect within the nation, it is required that "enabling" legislation be written in order to make the subject matter of the treaty incumbent upon states, courts, individuals, etc. In order to

It is self-evident: the Constitution has pre-set the standards a treaty must meet before it can qualify as being equal to the Constitution.

draft the "enabling" legislation, law-makers are bound by that dependent clause (within the main treaty clause) to respect the rule to which they must adhere, and which, of course, is that, it must be drafted in pursuance thereof to the Constitution.

The president is not above the law nor the requirements of these clauses. The president's oath reads "to preserve, protect, and defend" the Constitution. That oath was especially written by the nation's founders for all future presidents and placed within the body of the Constitution as a part of the supreme law so that the nation's chief executive would have to keep himself within the bounds of Constitutional limitations.

Thomas Jefferson also said: "By the general power to make treaties, the Constitution must have intended to comprehend only those objects which are usually regulated by treaty and cannot be otherwise regulated....It must have meant to except out of these the rights reserved to the states, for surely the President and the Senate cannot do by treaty what the whole government is interdicted from doing in any way." Manual of Parliamentary Practice. Bergh 2:42 (1801)

The Constitutional duty of states to call out against the federal government whenever it has transgressed, has not been kept. For instance, the Charter of the United Nations, enacted initially as a "treaty" in 1945 was in gross violation of the principles of our Constitution. The Charter has generated a concatenation of sequential unlawful "treaties", which (passed into so-called "law") have resulted in the development of an international socialistic world government (the "New World Order"). The United Nations Charter, bestows powers upon our president which are forbidden by the United States Constitution! This is why the presidents have been passing executive orders as "laws", are signing "treaties" which are altering the structure, energies, and principles of the Constitutional system, and are transferring all power under the control of the socialist world government.

The people are the guardians of the Constitution. They should hold their state public officials responsible and require them to take action against the destruction of the republic, caused by the unlawful use of the treaty power, while there is still time! They must declare unqualified laws and treaties as non-laws.

The information on this page is quite valuable. Congressman James Utt, a strong constitutionalist, and a protector of the rights of the people, having served in the federal House of Repr. from 1953 to 1970 drafted the perfect legislation to terminate our membership in the United Nations. Do not add or delete any parts of his bill. Any other type of bill can be challenged in the courts and the liberal U.S. Supreme Court would have final adjudication.

State Legislatures Can Repeal the United Nations Charter

The State Legislatures collectively can repeal the United Nations Charter. If one state passed the bill below, it repeals the United Nations Organization in the particular state as if 38 states had already enacted the bill. It is the law of the state and United Nations law has been repealed in that state. When 38 states have enacted this bill, it repeals the United Nations Charter on behalf of the federal government as if the Congress had passed Congressman Utt's bill. Demand both the Congress and State Legislatures to repeal the United Nations Organization.

If you will read Congressman Utt's Bill, you will note that this bill is not concerned with the declarations that the United Nations Charter is unconstitutional, illegal, null and void from the beginning or that the President and Senate acted beyond the powers granted by the Constitution. Repeal is the process by which a law or treaty is wiped off the books.

If the Congress or the State Legislatures see fit to repeal a law or treaty, reasons do not have to be incorporated in the bill and there is no law requiring that grounds be stated in a bill of repeal as to why it is being repealed. The bill below is adapted from Congressman Utt's bill for action by the State Legislatures.

A bill of express repeal cannot be challenged in the Courts, as it contains no justifiable issues. Any other type bill can be challenged in the courts and the U.S. Supreme Court would have final adjudication. Who in his right mind would want the Warren-Black Court to decide whether or not the United Nations Charter is constitutional, legal and valid?

Contd. on Page 3

State Legislatures Can Repeal U.N. Charter

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED AN ACT

To rescind and revoke membership of the State of _____ in the United Nations and the specialized agencies thereof, and for other purposes.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF _____

Section 1. That from and after the effective date of this ACT the ratification of the Senate of the United States of July 28, 1945, of the United Nations Charter, making the State of _____ a member of the United Nations, be and said ratification hereby is, rescinded, revoked and held for naught; and all ACTS and parts of ACTS designed and intended to carry out such membership of the State of _____ in the United Nations, are hereby repealed.

Section 2. Effective Date. This ACT shall become effective immediately upon its passage and approval by the Governor or its otherwise becoming law.

In each state this Bill must be the same and not changed in any way except to be in proper form for introduction in your state legislature. Request your State Senator or Representative to draw up in proper form and introduce in your Legislature. Write for further information and assistance in promoting this legislation.

Contd. from Page 2

The following bill for action by the State Legislatures, as referred to above, was introduced in the Legislature of the State of Alabama in 1966 and 1967. In 1967 this Bill (as Sub. H.G. 548, introduced by Rep. Owen) passed in the House of Representatives 64 to 11. In the Senate it was reported to be favored by 25 to 7 as this was the vote by the Senate that it be retained on the calendar. On the last day of the session, this Bill, so highly favored, was kept from coming to vote by the enemy of our Constitutional Republic using the minority Senators to make maneuvers to prevent a vote on the bill; otherwise, it would be law in Alabama today and Alabama would be out of the United Nations Organization.