

PUBLIC OFFICIALS HAVE NO AUTHORITY TO LEGISLATE INTO THE SECOND AMENDMENT

The Right to Keep and Bear Arms Is an Absolute Right Beyond the Purview of Public Officials.

The prime purpose behind the writing of the Second Amendment of the *Bill of Rights* was not only to guard against invasion from *without*, but primarily to guard against the invasion of the people's liberty from *within*. When public officials prohibit or obstruct the right to arms held by the people, and interfere with the citizen's use of arms necessary for the prevention of tyranny in government, that legislation is called sedition.

Completely disarming the population is the goal of most public officials, and it is unlawfully being achieved on a gradual basis. If the people *fail* to assert and charge that *the right to arms is beyond the authority of public officials*, they will lose *all* of their guns. The only way public officials can make an end run around the Second Amendment is to *lie* about the Second Amendment and *misconstrue its meaning*.

Those who seek to overthrow the government of the United States have been taught to use degrading names for the arms of the people, such as *assault weapons, cop killer bullets, etc.* The people have become victims of this perversion when they should be continually protesting against the use and acceptance of such

guileful, brainwashing techniques! Good people don't use good weapons for assault purposes!

Additionally, the *Preamble* preceding the *Bill of Rights* forbids encroachments, but Americans are now 'stewing in their own juice', as the old saying goes, because they have failed to charge forth with the facts and are accepting as "law" bogus legislation written by those who are *forbidden to do any legislating whatsoever in the area of the people's right to arms*.

The lack of courage, by the people to prevail against such techniques, is the result of primary conditioning necessary to those who intend to first demoralize and then completely disarm the nation. Those who intend to overthrow the American traditional government have deliberately used public office for the purpose of altering the system. They could not delegate to themselves unlimited power and install repressive goals and objectives unless they first disarmed the people.

The stalwarts, who fought to establish liberty, were well aware of the dangers associated with placing unguarded trust in public officials. This is

why they chose to secure the right to arms in a document that is not subject to repeal; clearly orders arms *shall not be infringed*; and is headed by a Preamble proscribing misconstruction.

The most eloquent speaker of his day, Patrick Henry led the fight to establish the *Bill of Rights* as an impenetrable guardian over our precious liberties. Although his great orations have been obscured by today's politicians, Henry knew that the absoluteness of the right to arms was the only method by which such liberties could be preserved, and he made that fact known!

The Congress, which participated with Henry in the quest for a *Bill of Rights* knew that the right to arms was being entered as an *absolute* right -- beyond the purview and authority of future public officials.

Americans are now 'stewing in their own juice', as the old saying goes, because they have failed to charge forth with the facts and are accepting as "law" bogus legislation written by those who are *forbidden to do any legislating whatsoever in the area of the people's right to arms*.